

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28469-2019

Date of Decision: 12.07.2019

Gurwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner admittedly was not present at the spot where the occurrence took place, in which one Dharamraj @ Vicky unfortunately lost his life, the allegation against the petitioner being that he had actually called one Sonu son of Subhash to the spot, to compromise the matter as regards an eve teasing incident that is stated to have taken place earlier.

Learned counsel further submits that even the aforesaid Sonu was admittedly not present at the spot.

Learned State counsel while pointing out that the petitioner is involved in the conspiracy to eliminate the deceased, could not deny that neither the petitioner nor the aforesaid Sonu were actually present at the spot.

Keeping in view the above, also keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has already been in custody for 06 months and 22 days, as per the

custody certificate filed in Court today by learned State counsel, with the prosecution witnesses still to be examined, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

July 12, 2019
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.