

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28184 of 2019.

Decided on:- July 08, 2019.

Sudesh Rani.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Prabhdeep Singh Dhanju, Advocate for
 Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439(2) Cr.PC is for cancellation of regular bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 vide orders dated 07.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) and 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-3) respectively passed by learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur in FIR No.37 dated 17.04.2019 under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC registered at Police Station City Zira, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that so long as the evidence of prosecution is not concluded, the respondents No.2 and 3 have no right to be enlarged on bail as they are trying to influence the prosecutrix not to give evidence in the Court and to compromise the matter. Therefore, the bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3-accused is liable to be cancelled.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the impugned orders dated 07.05.2019 and 16.05.2019 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur reveals that respondents No.2 and 3 have been granted regular bail as they remained in judicial custody and were no more required for further investigation. The conclusion of trial is like to take some time and accordingly, bail was granted to respondents No.2 and 3 with certain conditions.

So far as the plea of the petitioner that after releasing on regular bail, the respondents No.2 and 3 are trying to influence the girls not to give evidence in the Court and to compromise the matter is concerned, the same cannot be accepted at this stage as the petitioner has not placed on record any document to show that as to whether he has made any representation before the concerned police authority or even the trial Court regarding the aforesaid conduct of respondents No.2 and 3, but instead thereof, he has straightaway approached this Court seeking cancellation of regular bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3.

The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

July 08, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No