

CR No.4094 of 2019
Date of Decision: 26.9.2019

Ashiya

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ali Mohd. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present revision has been filed against the order dated 22.5.2019, vide which the matter has been restored at its actual number to proceed further by ignoring the order dated 11.6.2011, vide which, the matter was adjourned sine die to await the report of the FSL, Madhuban.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that FSL report has still not been received and the respondents herein are moving application at their own whims and fancies. Earlier the application was moved to adjourn the case sine die and now the application has been moved to restore the same.

Heard.

A perusal of the order dated 16.7.2011 shows that the case was adjourn sine die no doubt to await the report of the FSL, but liberty was granted to move an appropriate application for its restoration. Admittedly, the said order was passed in the year 2011 and the FSL report has still not been received. In these circumstances, the matter cannot be kept sine die for all times to come. Accordingly, there is nothing wrong with the order dated 22.5.2019, directing the matter to proceed further. Meanwhile, a separate

effort to obtain the FSL report can always be made by the concerned parties.

In view of the above, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

26.9.2019

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No