

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(204)

CWP-22955-2012

Date of Decision: March 11, 2019

Satpal Sohal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Central Bank of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Premjit Kalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim which has been made by the petitioner is for the release of the pension and other benefits is on the basis of Annexure P-19 attached with the writ petition. Annexure P-19 is an acknowledgment of an option form allegedly given by the petitioner to opt for the pension.

In the reply, the respondents have stated that this document is forged one and has never been issued by the Bank at any given point of time. Rather, the case of the respondents is that no pension form was ever received by the bank from the petitioner opting for the pension and hence the question of acknowledgment of the same does not arise.

From the above, it is clear that the present writ petition involves the disputed question of facts, which cannot be gone into by this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The petitioner is relegated to his other remedies for the redressal of his grievance for the grant of pension as petitioner deems fit in the present circumstances.

The present writ petition stands disposed of as above.

The petitioner has attached the original document Annexure P-19 with the present writ petition.

Registry is directed to return the same to the petitioner so that he can avail his remedy by annexing the same before the appropriate Court after following due procedure of law.

March 11, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No