

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2366 of 2019
Date of decision: 8.7.2019

Ram Sawrup

.. Petitioner

v.

Sh. Parth Gupta

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vineet Jakhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 7.5.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 24871 of 2018. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“At this stage, with the intervention of the State counsel, clarification dated 21.4.2017 was carried out and taken on record as Mark 'A' wherein it has been decided by Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, School Education Department that the offending clauses of the notifications dated 15.7.2011 and 21.7.2011 shall be construed to be having prospective effect, meaning thereby that the validity of all STET certificates shall be upto 20.7.2016. This judgment has attained finality. Keeping in view this judgment (Annexure P-1), and the marks obtained by the petitioner, writ petition is allowed and direction is being given to the respondents to further verify the STET certificate of the petitioner and recommend the name of the petitioner for

appointment to the post of PGT Political Science under the BC-B category within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and respondent No. 2 will issue necessary order within the next 15 days.”

As per the order, the respondents were directed to verify the STET certificate of the petitioner and recommend the name of the petitioner for appointment to the post of PGT Political Science under the BC-B category within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that copy of the order was supplied on 16.5.2019 though there is nothing on record to show as to when certified copy of the order was served upon the respondents. He undertakes to supply another copy of the order to the respondent within one week from today.

At this stage still another opportunity is provided to the respondent to do the needful within three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. In case of failure, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition and the revival would be at the cost and responsibility of the respondent.

With the aforesaid observation, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

8.7.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No