

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28264-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.10.2019

Balwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab alongwith
ASI Amrik Singh.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.75 dated 07.11.2018 registered under Sections 336/506 of IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 05.07.2019 passed by this Court, both the parties are present in the Court. Petitioners are duly identified by their counsel. Respondent No.2 is also present in the Court duly identified by the Investigating Officer. Petitioners have filed their affidavits in the Court today and admit the correctness of the compromise and respondent No.2 also admits

the settlement arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State also does not have any objection.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**,

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.75 dated 07.11.2018 registered under Sections 336/506 of IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed, on the basis of compromise, however, qua the petitioners only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

24.10.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No