

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28382-2019

Date of Decision: 04.10.2019

Simranjit Singh and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioners Simranjit Singh and Vickey @ Bikramjit Singh have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.31 dated 21.04.2019, registered at Police Station Qadian, Tehsil Batala, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 452, 326, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned State counsel and counsel for the complainant have appeared and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that both the petitioners have been named in the FIR. They are stated to have given grievous injuries with datar. Furthermore, there are 12 injuries in total caused to the injured and three of the injuries have been declared grievous. As per the MLR, there are

multiple fractures.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioners are required for custodial interrogation and weapons are also to be recovered from them, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioners are entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

04.10.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No