

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(127)

CWP-17942-2019

Date of Decision: July 05, 2019

Rati Mohd.

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The claim which is being made by the petitioner, in the present writ petition, is that he had worked on the post of Chowkidar in Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya (KGBV), Distirct Nuh, Haryana, which is being run by the Mewat Model School Society, Nuh, of which, the Deputy Commissioner is the Chairman.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was appointed on daily wage basis on the rates fixed by the Deputy Commissioner and though the grant of wages under DC rates were revised from time to time but the benefits of the same were never extended to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order passed by this Court in CWP No.9621 of 2016 titled as Saroj and others Vs. Haryana School Shiksha Pariyojna Parishad and others, decided on 17.09.2018 to contend that a direction has already been issued by this Court in the cases of other similarly situated persons granting them the benefit of revised wages, which were revised by the respondents from time to time.

Keeping in view the advance notice given, Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a representation dated 29.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 29.12.2018 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 29.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 05, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No