

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28208-2019

Date of decision:5.7.2019.

SANDEEP ANAND

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a monetary dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.7-Poonam Arora and that respondent No.7 with the help of local police is trying to pressurize the petitioner to effect compromise as per her terms and that the petitioner is unnecessarily being called to the police station and is being harassed.
2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to ensure that if the petitioner is required to be associated with any

inquiry or investigation or some information is to be elicited from him, then a written advance notice in terms of Section 160 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 be served upon him.

3. A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

5.7.2019.
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No