

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11920 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.09.2019

Kailash Chander

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that impugned order dated 10.10.2014 (Annexure P/4) has been passed by the Director by way of punishment. Counsel for the petitioner States that charge sheet dated 21.11.2013 (Annexure P-2), in pursuance to which, impugned order dated 10.10.2014 (Annexure P/4) was passed is not under challenge in the present writ petition and only the orders passed in pursuance to the said charge sheet by the punishing authority and appellate authority are under challenge, and therefore, he prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file the same afresh on the same cause of action with better particulars by impugning the charge sheet in pursuance to which the impugned order was passed. Counsel for the petitioner states that he also intends to challenge the norms, which have been fixed by the Government which have been adhered while imposing the punishment.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

11.09.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |