

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.1185 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 11, 2019

Balwinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Manu Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.9.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner could not be selected as he did not qualify the main examination. He has referred to para 1 of the reply by way of Iqbal Preet Singh Sahota, Admn.-cum-Chairman, Central Recruitment Board, Punjab. Same reads as under:-

“1. That petitioner applied for the post of Sub Inspector in District Police Cadre (Male) in BC, Wards of Police Personnel Category. He qualified physical trials and appeared in the written examination. He got 62 marks in written examination whereas cut off marks of Wards of Police Personnel Category were 69 marks, whereas cut off of BC Category was 79 marks. So, his name was not included in the merit list.”

The aforesaid contention remains uncontroverted. Petitioner remains unrepresented. None appeared for the petitioner on the last date of hearing as well.

In view of statement made by the State counsel, no cause of action survives. Petition is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 11, 2019
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No