

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(231)

CWP-15298-2016

Date of Decision: March 19, 2019

Om Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the claim of the petitioner was initially rejected vide Annexures P-4 and P-6, which action was challenged by the petitioner in the present writ petition but during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner has been paid a sum of Rs.1,91,188/- on 19.09.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the same, he does not press the writ petition any further.

Disposed of as not pressed.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

March 19, 2019

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No