

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(114)

CWP-17807-2019

Date of Decision: July 05, 2019

Ripudaman Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that though she retired from service on 31.07.2006 but the pensionary benefits for which the petitioner was entitled, were released after a delay ranging from five months to one year and therefore, keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468,** the petitioner is entitled for interest on the delayed release of the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-4) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition

is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No