

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-931 OF 2018 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 5, 2019

ANKIT

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

SAT NARAYAN AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. S.K. HOODA, , ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. ANKIT CHAHAL, ADOVCATE
FOR RESPONDENTS NO.1 AND 5.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

CRM-W-8-2019

This is an application for placing on record reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 5.

The same is taken on record.

CRM stands allowed.

CRWP-931-2018

Vide order dated 22.11.2018, a report was sought from CJM-cum-Secretary, DLSA, Sonapat. The same has been received and accordingly, statement of Promila D/o Satnarain was recorded who has stated that the marriage certificate is a forged one and has denied the factum of marriage with Ankit (petitioner). She has further stated that she will marry according to the wishes of her family members and nobody has beaten her or pressurized her for recording the same. Similarly, the statement of

Satnarain, father of Promila was also recorded which is on the similar lines stating that the alleged marriage was under some intoxication administered by Ankit. According to the father, a complaint was given to the police, however, due to the intervention of the villagers and panchayat, a compromise took place between both the families which is annexed as Annexure A and B. A copy of the compromise is also attached as Annexure R-1 and R-2 by way of reply.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has requested the Court to allow the petitioner to meet respondent No.5 Promila. However, respondent No.5 has candidly refused for the same.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in this petition and the same is dismissed. As a sum of ₹1 lakh has not been withdrawn by Promila, the Registry is directed to return the same to the petitioner.

February 5, 2019
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No