

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2017.09.27 17:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-2862-2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.09.2019

Taranjit Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Shreenath A.Khemka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Bajwa, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Khehar, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4, at the very threshold, submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, petitioner has been appointed to the post of Agriculture Development Officer in the Agriculture Department, Government of Punjab which is a higher post than the one claimed in the petition (Assistant Plant Breeder).

2. In view of statement of learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4, writ petition is rendered infructuous.

3. All the contentions raised in the petition are left open to be decided in appropriate proceedings. Liberty is also granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate application, in case, any cause of action still survives.

25.09.2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No