

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-27908 of 2019

.....

Date of decision:27.08.2019

Satish Chander

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.428 dated 18.11.2014 registered for the offences under Sections 107, 147, 148, 149, 186, 188, 120-B, 121, 121-A, 122, 123, 224, 225, 307, 332, 342, 353 and 436 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 114, 147, 148, 149, 186, 188, 120-B, 121, 121-A, 122, 123, 307, 326-A, 332, 333, 342, 353, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 435 IPC and Sections 25, 27 and 30 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 3, 4, 6, and 7 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908 and Sections 3 and 4 of Prevention of Damages to Public Property Act (PDPP Act), 1984 and Sections 16, 18, 20, 22-C and 23 of Unlawful Activity (Prevention) Act, 1967 and Sections 107, 224, 225, 436 IPC were deleted.

[2]

Notice of motion was issued in this case.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

The present petitioner has been in custody since 2.11.2018. He was nominated in the present FIR on the disclosure statement of the main accused Rampal. The allegations are that when the Police went to arrest Rampal, then so many people surrounded the place, who were armed with the weapons etc., and attacked the Police party. Hundreds of the co-accused have already been released on bail as argued and admitted by the learned State counsel. The trial of the case is likely to take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹1 Lakh with two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 27, 2019.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

[3]

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No