

(116) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17888 of 2019

Date of decision: 05.07.2019

Arvind Petitioner

Versus

Pt. Lakhmi Chand State University & Ors. Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has filed representation, Annexure P-5 dated 29.01.2019 followed by reminder, Annexure P-10 dated 26.04.2019 to the respondents but no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date and that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representations i.e. Annexures P-5 and P-10 expeditiously.

[2] In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representations, i.e. Annexure P-5 dated 29.01.2019 and Annexure P-10 dated 26.04.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

05.07.2019

amit

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. | Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |