

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.27935 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.08.2019**

Rakesh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the petitioner.

S.I. Satish Kumar for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.64 dated 03.03.2019, under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 04.07.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Contends that car in question bearing registration No.HR16N5021 belongs to the complainant and the same has already been released in his favour on the basis of sapurdari by the learned trial Court. Also contends that car was recovered from Karambir and not from the petitioner. Further contends that there is no other criminal case pending against him.

Notice of motion returnable on 14.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his

arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. ”

Today, S.I. Satish Kumar, who is present in the Court, submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of order dated 04.07.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, interim order dated 04.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 14, 2019

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>