

CWP-4938-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision-26.08.2019

Gurdip Singh and others **Vs.** **...Petitioners**

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.K.Walia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for quashing the advertisement dated 01.03.2010 (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.2 in Daily Ajit and various other newspapers for filling up 1214 posts of constables by 100% direct recruitment without providing for reservation for home guards such as the instructions issued by Govt. of India dated 17.01.1984 (Annexure P-1) as well as letter dated 22.12.2003 (Annexure P-2) and also circular letter dated 15.09.2005 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the case of the petitioners is covered by the ratio of law laid down by this Court in CWP No.10482 of 2004, decided on 08.02.2013 titled as Kuldip Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others and at this stage, he will be satisfied if the present petition may be treated as a representation and respondent No.3-Director General of Police, Punjab is directed to consider and decide the same in the light of the aforesaid judgment, expeditiously.

In view of the above, without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

Director General of Police, Punjab to treat the present writ petition as a representation and consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

26.08.2019
hemlata

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>