

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(238)

CWP-14911-2016

Date of Decision: October 16, 2019

Chattar Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Arjun Shukla, Advocate and
Mr. Sharanjeet Singh Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate, for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that vide impugned order dated 20.03.2013 (Annexure P-9) and 29.09.2014 (Annexure P-11), the grade pay of Rs.3600/- which was granted to the petitioners, has been withdrawn.

The claim of the petitioners is that they are entitled for the grade pay of Rs.3600/- which is at par with the employees working in the Haryana Civil Secretariat on the same posts keeping in view the decision taken by the High Powered Coordination Committee vide order dated 14.05.1987 (Annexure P-1).

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners argues that a bunch of writ petitions involving the same question of law on similar facts, wherein also, the action of the respondents in withdrawing the grade pay of Rs.3600/- was under challenged, i.e. CWP No.19988 of 2011, titled as Ram Rattan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others and other

connected cases, have been allowed on 15.07.2019 and therefore, the present writ petition be also allowed in same terms as CWP No.19988 of 2011 titled as Ram Rattan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 15.07.2019.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State very fairly states that the claim, which is being raised in the present writ petition, is identical to the claim raised in CWP No.19988 of 2011 titled as Ram Rattan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, which has been allowed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 15.07.2019. Learned counsel for the respondents states that she has no objection in case the present writ petition is also disposed of in same terms.

Keeping in view the request made by learned counsel for the parties and the facts recorded above, the present writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.19988 of 2011 titled as Ram Rattan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, and other connected cases, decided on 15.07.2019.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 16, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No