

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.11266 of 2017

Date of decision: April 09, 2019

Neetu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct respondents to pay the higher pay-scale/emoluments for performing the duties of higher post as per Punjab Civil Service Rules. According to learned counsel, petitioner has served a legal notice, Annexure P-10 on the respondents.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that decision on legal notice shall be taken by the competent authority within two months.

In view of statement made by the State counsel, no further direction is necessary. Disposed of.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

April 09, 2019
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No