

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27844 of 2019
Date of Decision: 25.07.2019

Jarnail Singh @ Pilla

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG, Punjab.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.100 dated 26.06.2019 registered for the offence punishable under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, at Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that version of prosecution that petitioner on witnessing the police party ran away from his house is improbable as a person cannot run away in the presence of several police officials.

As per allegations in the FIR, 120 bottles of liquor manufactured for sale in the State of Haryana were recovered from house of the petitioner. Even if submission of learned counsel for the petitioner be believed at this stage still the police requires his custodial interrogation to verify as to how 120 bottles of liquor for sale in Haryana were found in his

house.

Keeping in view the fact that liquor for sale in other State was found in the house of petitioner, I find no reason to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

July 25, 2019
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No