

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.65 of 2008 (O&M)
Date of Decision:07.03.2019

Pritam Kaur Appellant
Vs.
Kulwinder Kaur Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: - None for the appellant.

Ms.Anjali Moudgil, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has arisen from the order dated 29.10.2007 by which a petition filed under Section 7 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 by the appellant for her appointment as a guardian of Ms. Harpreet Kaur was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that as per her information the said minor has attained the age of majority, therefore, the petition filed by the appellant has become infructuous by efflux of time.

Since, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant, therefore, believing the statement made by counsel for the respondent as correct, to the fact, that the minor child has attained the age of majority, the present appeal is hereby dismissed as infructuous with a rider that in case the information given to the Court by the learned counsel for the respondent is not found to be correct for which she would not be held liable, the present appeal may be revived by filing an application.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

07.03.2019
Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*