

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11036 of 2017
Date of decision: 08.05.2019**

Pramila

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to consider her case for appointment to the post of Female Constable (General Duty). Further prayer has been made to treat her as qualified in the Physical Measurement Test (PMT), which was held from 16.10.2016 to 18.10.2016 at Panchkula, as she had secured more marks than the last candidate.

Short question for consideration in this petition is, as to why petitioner's name has not been considered for selection and appointment to the post of Female Constable (General Duty) as per final result dated 25.10.2016.

Upon notice, short affidavit of the Secretary (Legal), Haryana Staff Selection Commission has been filed, wherein it has been stated that pursuant to advertisement No.8/2015 dated 19.07.2015, petitioner had applied for the post of Female Constable (General Duty) under SC category. She was allotted Roll No.1002045107, on the basis of which, Physical Screening Test, Written Test, Physical Measurement Test and interview was

conducted. Marks secured by the petitioner are reproduced as under:-

1. PST (Physical Screening Test) – 10/15
2. Written test – 22.05/60
3. PMT (Physical Measurement Test) – 10/15
4. Graduation/Post-Graduation Marks – 0/2
5. NCC Marks – 0/3
6. Interview Marks – 3/5
7. **Grand total - 45.05/100**

Copy of detailed result of petitioner is attached as Annexure R-3/1. The cut off marks of the last selected candidate under the SC category is 45.25 out of 100. Since the petitioner is short of 0.20 marks, her case was not considered for selection and recommendation to the post of Female Constable (General Duty).

Heard, counsel for the parties.

A perusal of result (Annexure R-3/1) shows that the petitioner has secured less marks than the last candidate selected under the SC category. Hence, she has rightly been denied appointment to the post of Female Constable (General Duty).

No merits.

Dismissed.

08.05.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No