

**240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10566-2017(O&M)
Date of decision-13.05.2019**

Mohan Lal Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryan and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vipin Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. S.M. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 to 4 to take immediate action against respondent No.5 on the basis of order dated 16.09.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2 as per provisions of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula to consider and decide the legal notice dated 07.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

13.05.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No