

219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27672-2019

Date of decision: 08.07.2019

APINDERPAL SINGH ALIAS PINTU MONGA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ratinder Sodhi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.54 dated 12.03.2019 registered under Sections 354-B, 506 of IPC (Sections 7 & 8 of POCSO Act added lateron) at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the complainant is a tenant of the petitioner. The FIR has been registered with the sole consideration that there is a dispute between the petitioner as landlord and the complainant as tenant. Moreover, no medical was conducted in the case which may establish the allegations as levelled in the FIR. He further states that now the matter has been compromised between the parties and a petition seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has already been filed before

is not going to be concluded in the near future.

Power of attorney filed on behalf of the complainant in court today, is taken on record. Counsel for the complainant fairly accepts the very factum of compromise.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Pargat Singh, states that the allegation against the petitioner are serious, as the victim in the case is a girl of about 9 years of age.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and noticing the fact that no medical of the prosecutrix was conducted and the petitioner being in custody since 14.04.2019, the trial in the case is not going to conclude in the near future, and further, the matter has been compromised between the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

08.07.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No