

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

226

CRM-M-28486-2019

Date of decision : 11.12.2019

Sahil Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Berwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.234, dated 24.05.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 452, 325 and 326 IPC, registered at Police Station City Thana, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the FIR, it is stated that the petitioner has caused injury with a 'gandasi' on the arm and hip of injured-Vikas, but the MLR of the injured reflects only blunt injuries.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that in the statement of injured-Karan recorded on the date of incident itself, he has specifically stated that the petitioner had caused an injury with a 'gandasi' on his left leg. This injury was found to be grievous in nature. He has referred to the copy of the MLR of the injured in this regard which shows a fracture.

In view of the petitioner having caused a 'gandasi' blow on the left leg of the injured which has resulted in fracture, I do not deem it a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

December 11, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No