

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10534 of 2017

Date of Decision: 26.03.2019

Kiran Bala and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the above mentioned writ petition as well as other connected writ petitions tabulated at the foot of the order.*

2. Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the petitions tabulated at the foot of the order have been rendered infructuous and to support this conclusion, learned State counsel has produced a letter dated 25.03.2019 received from the Director Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula addressed to the learned Advocate General, Haryana, Chandigarh.

3. The law officer states that candidates who had applied for the post of Primary Teacher are mentioned in the tabulation. The candidatures of these persons were rejected on the ground that their thumb impressions did not establish their identity while appearing in the

HTET examination.

4. As per directions of this Court in CWP No. 11761 of 2017, Veena Yadav and others Vs, State of Haryana and others, the physical verification of the selected candidates has been re-conducted. Appointment letters have been issued to those candidates whose faces match their photographs on the application forms establishing their identity beyond doubt. Court is told that the 81 petitioners in CWP No. 15921 of 2017, titled Balinder and others Vs. State of Haryana and others have been appointed to service on regular basis, while 02 candidates stand appointed on ad hoc basis being lower in merit and 02 of the lot have not been offered appointments at this stage being still lower in merit in the main select list prepared by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission and lack of clear vacancies.

5. Accordingly, the seniority of the appointed candidates will relate back to their inter se merit positions as determined by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission. However, monetary benefits will remain notional.

6. The petitions are disposed of as infructuous. The files are closed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

26.03.2019

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

*

Sr. No.	Case Nos.	Party Names
1	CWP-15921-2017	Balinder and others Vs. State of Haryana and others
2	CWP-17752-2017	Mukesh Rani Vs. State of Haryana and others
3	CWP-18401-2017	Poonam and others Vs. State of Haryana and others
4	CWP-14431-2017	Sweety Vs. State of Haryana and others
5	CWP-14397-2017	Dinesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others
6	CWP-9049-2018	Banti Vs. State of Haryana and others
7	CWP-20525-2017	Gurvinder Vs. State of Haryana and others
8	CWP-13631-2018	Anil Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others
9	CWP-15733-2018	Ajay Pannu and others Vs. State of Haryana and others

26.03.2019
kv

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No