

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14095-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 09, 2019

Dr. Sukhpreet Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

Union of India and Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. J.S.Jaidka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for the respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Short controversy that arises for consideration in the present writ petition is whether the services of the petitioner could have been terminated on expiry of the contract period, as has been done vide impugned order dated 06.06.2016 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that as per contract, period of the contract of the petitioner came to end on 30.06.2016. Thereafter, the respondent-ECHS did not execute any fresh contract with petitioner. The same is perfectly in tune with the contractual terms and conditions. He further submits that even otherwise, the respondents did not find the work and performance of the petitioner satisfactory as reflected from Annexure R-4 and letter dated 28.01.2016 (Annexure R-5) which were conveyed to the petitioner.

3. Neither the contents of Annexures R-4 and R-5 have been denied by way of any replication nor, even otherwise, controverted by the petitioner that the same were not conveyed to him.

4. In the premise, I am in agreement with the arguments of learned counsel for the respondents. The writ petition is dismissed with no order as to costs.

May 09, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No