

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR NO.4021 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION: 03.07.2019

MANI NANDWANI

...PETITIONER..

VERSUS

MANISHA SHARMA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through this revision under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has laid challenge to impugned order dated 03.05.2019 (P-8) of the appellate court, whereby, upon application of the respondent-landlord, the petitioner-tenant and proforma respondent were ordered to deposit mesne profit @ ₹50,000/- per month w.e.f. 27.04.2018 within two months, which have already expired.

In nutshell, the petitioner and proforma respondent were ordered to be evicted vide order dated 27.04.2018 passed by Rent Controller, Chandigarh in eviction petition filed by respondent No.1-landlord against them.

Being aggrieved, the petitioner and his co-tenant, who is none else, but his real sister approached the appellate court, who, upon application of respondent No.1-landlord, directed the petitioner and

proforma respondent to deposit mesne profit @ ₹50,000/- per month, considering various factors including the lease deeds of the near vicinity, vide order dated 03.05.2019.

Heard.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and going through impugned order, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the same, it being passed on appreciation of various lease deeds of the near vicinity. Even otherwise, now-a-days due to globalization, prevailing rent throughout the country has increased manifold. There is no iota of contrary evidence to reduce the mesne profit fixed by the appellate court.

Hence, instant petition is dismissed.

03.07.2019

sonika

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**