

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRR(F)-29-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:28.05.2019

Shashi Kant Kaushik

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Poonam Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present revision petition against the order dated 07.12.2017 passed by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, whereby on a petition filed by the respondent under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance allowance, the Family Court has granted maintenance to the wife @ Rs.10,000/- per month from the date of filing of the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, the matter could not be settled as the petitioner has offered Rs.5 lakh towards the final settlement to the respondent-wife, whereas she was claiming a sum of Rs.8 lakh. He states that instead of earlier offer of Rs.5 lakh, petitioner is ready to offer a sum of Rs.7 lakh to the respondent-wife for final settlement.

This offer is accepted by the learned counsel for the respondent, of course on instructions from his client, who is present in the Court.

The petitioner undertakes to pay a sum of Rs.3.5 lakh at the time of first motion in the proceedings under Section 13-B of HMA and the remaining amount at the time of second motion.

The parties are *ad idem* that a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act seeking divorce is already pending between the parties and the parties will get the proceedings converted into the petition under Section 13-B of HMA. If not permissible, then they will file a fresh petition under Section 13-B of HMA.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has offered a sum of Rs.7 lakh as final settlement and this offer has been accepted by the respondent, the present petition is disposed of.

However, in case the petitioner fails to abide by the aforesaid offer, the present revision petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

May 28, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No