

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-27833 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 15, 2019

Dilawar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Dhawaljit Dutta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The precise allegations against petitioner Dilawar Singh in this first regular bail application moved under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 13 dated 07.02.2014 under Section 498-A and 406 IPC registered at Police Station Purana Shalley, District Gurdaspur are that complainant/wife Jyoti Bala, alleges she happens to be wife of the petitioner and on account of

mental cruelty heaped upon her by the petitioner for the demand of dowry, she was turned out of her matrimonial home leading to the registration of the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is behind the bars for more than 4 months and that recovery, if any, stands effected and that the investigation and the trial are not likely to be concluded in near future.

Learned State counsel Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab on instructions of ASI Jagir Chand, Police Station Purana Shalley, District Gurdaspur have opposed the bail on the ground that the petitioner has already been declared a proclaimed offender, and that, if allowed bail he would again abscond.

Going through the submissions that admittedly the petitioner is behind the bars as per the stand of the State for more than 04 months and considering the fact the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of bail. However, in order to thwart any effort of the petitioner to keep himself away from law, it would be suffice, if he is allowed bail subject to his furnishing heavy bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of

the case.

Disposed off.

October 15, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No