

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

105

**CRM-M-27648 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.07.2019**

Vipin

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner had expressed his willingness to join the investigation when the petition for same relief was pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge. Learned Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 27.05.2019 directed the petitioner to join the investigation. However, it is apparent from the order dated 03.06.2019 that the petitioner even after having offered to join the investigation and directed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge to join, did not join the investigation. Accordingly, the request for anticipatory bail was declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not permitted to join the investigation by the police, hence, he could not join the investigation. Learned counsel was specifically asked whether any application was filed to that effect before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, the answer was in negative.

In view thereof, there is no ground to grant concession of anticipatory bail.

Hence, the petition is dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

03.07.2019

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No