

225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15475 of 2014

Date of Decision: 11.12.2019

DEEPANKSHI AGGARWAL

...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Ms. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Longia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.5.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner Deepankshi Aggarwal has filed the present writ petition, *inter alia*, praying for quashing of report dated 08.07.2014 and 01.08.2014 and also issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to reserve one seat for the petitioner in the MBBS course. It is a matter of record that on 04.09.2014, this Court had permitted the petitioner to pay the fee and attend her classes on provisional admission basis. Respondent shall consider the registration. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner, it would be in fitness of thing to direct the respondents to regularize the admission of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the petition she has completed the MBBS course. This fact is not

disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent No.3.

It is further submitted by learned counsel appearing for MCI that the new regulations have been framed in the year 2018. As a result of which SLP(s) filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court on same/similar issue have since been dismissed as having been become infructuous.

The present case also, it is not disputed between the parties that the petitioner since has already completed the study in the MBBS course. Therefore, no further orders are called for. The course which petitioner has completed shall be considered by the competent authority(s) for new registration etc and for declaration of the result.

It is also not disputed that in this view of the matter, no further orders are called for.

Writ petition disposed of as such. It is made clear that this order has been passed in peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, which would not be treated as a precedent.

11.12. 2019
Ali

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No