

CWP-14724-2015

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14724-2015

Date of Decision: April 09, 2019

Rajbir Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab & Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Ms. Satpreet Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

ARUN MONGA, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the rejection of his candidature for the post of Draftsman (Civil), vide impugned order dated 30.01.2015 (Annexure P11).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without dwelling deeper into the matter, the ostensible reason of rejection given in the impugned order is that the petitioner failed to attach requisite ISO AUTO-CAD certificate alongwith print out of his application, within the prescribed period and date. She further submits that the said ostensible ground is not only unsustainable but even otherwise is totally insignificant in view of the requisite qualifications prescribed vide advertisement (Annexure P1).

3. She further submits that assuming, for the sake of arguments (though denied) that the petitioner did not have the ISO AUTO-CAD

CWP-14724-2015

-2-

certificate, even then, the candidature of the petitioner could not have been rejected on the basis of information given with the application.

4. Per contra, learned counsels for the respondents submit that the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is factually incorrect as not only ISO AUTO-CAD certificate was a pre-requisite but the same was also not submitted within the prescribed time period. They further point out that even otherwise, the said certificate was found to be non-ISO certificate.

5. Be that as it may, on a query posed by the Court, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the entire selection, pursuant to the advertisement in question, has been completed and the successful candidates have been appointed and have been in service ever since. None of them have been made party herein.

6. In this view of the matter, I am of the opinion that due to efflux of time, at this stage, the prayer of the petitioner cannot be granted. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner, if found eligible, for future vacancies which may be advertised, in accordance with law.

7. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

April 09, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No