

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17848-2019

Date of Decision:05.07.2019

M/s Jagtar Singh and others

. Petitioners

Vs.

Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT)-I and others

. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present: - Mr.Jaspal Singh Pannu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged the orders dated 30.5.2019 and 31.5.2019 passed by the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT)-1, Chandigarh by which they have been proceeded against *ex parte* in OA No.308 of 2019 titled as State Bank of India (SB) Vs. M/s Jagtar Singh and sons and others.

Learned counsel for the petitioners himself has referred to Section 22 of the Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institution Act, 1993 [for short 'the Act'] in which Section 22(2)(g) provides that the Tribunal can set aside any order of dismissal of any application for default or any order passed by it *ex parte*.

In view thereof, the jurisdiction lies with the Tribunal for which the petitioners have to approach it by filing an appropriate application. Hence, the present petition is not maintainable and the same is hereby dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

05.07.2019

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No