

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-27697 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.07.2019

Omi @ Om Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner having relied upon an order of a coordinate Bench passed in CRM-M-26782 of 2019 on 02.07.2019, admitting two co-accused of the petitioner to bail, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner is a young man whereas those two persons who have been admitted to bail are elderly persons.

A perusal of the aforesaid order produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner shows no such consideration by this Court, to the effect that they were being released because of their age, it having simply been stated that they had not been named in the FIR and have been implicated on the basis of a disclosure statement.

As the petitioner is also otherwise identically placed, as could not be denied by the learned State counsel, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merit of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

24.07.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no