

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 27606 of 2019
Date of Decision:-13.11.2019**

SURINDER KAUR AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr.Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI,J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 72 dated 14.06.2019 registered under Sections 323,324,341,148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur to which Section 326 IPC has been added lateron.

While issuing notice of motion, the petitioners were granted interim bail vide order dated 03.07.2019 by this Court with direction to join the investigation.

Learned State Counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and opposed the bail application.

I have heard learned Counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State Counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners were falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioners joined the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from the

petitioners and custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State Counsel has acknowledged that in compliance with order dated 03.07.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioners joined investigation and submitted that their custodial interrogation is not required for effecting any further recovery.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required in the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioners deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the petition is allowed and order dated 03.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation again if and as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions enumerated in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

13.11.2019

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No