

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(120)

CWP-17836-2019

Date of Decision: July 05, 2019

Vinod Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Vice Chancellor and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that though the petitioner had applied for the grant of pension in the year 2009 i.e. prior to his retirement but the said pension has not been released to him only on the ground that only the employees who have applied for pension upto the year 2003, can be considered for the grant of pension.

In support of the above-mentioned claim, for the grant of pension, petitioner is also relying upon the judgment of this Court in **RSA No.1399 of 2015 titled as Balwinder Singh Vs. The Registrar, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, decided on 10.11.2017.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 12.05.2019 (Annexure P-10) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in

the legal notice dated 12.05.2019 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 12.05.2019 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No