

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27543 of 2019
Date of Decision: 09.09.2019

Pardeep Kumar @ Bunt and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No. 74 dated 10.06.2019, under Sections 323, 325, 34, 341, 342, 427 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Panjokhra, District Ambala.

On 01.07.2019 while issuing notice of motion the following order was passed by this Court:

“Contentends that there is delay of approximately 10 days in lodging the present FIR and moreover, except Section 506, IPC, rest all the offences are bailable.

Notice of motion for 01.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that in terms of the above order, petitioners have already joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Balraj Singh has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 01.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 09, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no