

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13039-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.03.2019**

Rattan Anmol

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. TPS Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, a Senior Assistant, has claimed promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-II w.e.f. 29.03.2011, the date when 12 other similarly situated Senior Assistants were promoted to the post of Superintendent Grade II.

It is submitted that the petitioner on 07.08.2014 was subsequently given notional promotion. At this stage, he would be satisfied, if liberty is granted to the petitioner to move a representation before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance i.e. to grant promotion w.e.f. 29.03.2011, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the same as per law.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to move a representation before respondent No.1 for redressal of his grievance. In case, the needful is done within six weeks from today, respondent No.1 shall consider and decide the representation so moved within six weeks from the receipt thereof. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

05.03.2019

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No