

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215)

CRM-M-27346-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Gulam Fared @ Popat

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order of this Court (coordinate Bench), dated July 02, 2019, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation but he submits that out of the Rs.1 lac alleged to have been taken by the petitioner as his share of the goods of the complainant, as were sold in Rajasthan, he has deposited only Rs.20,000/-, which learned counsel for the petitioner refutes, his contention being that the entire amount of Rs.1 lac has been deposited, without prejudice to the petitioners' case on merits.

As per the learned counsel for the petitioner, he does not deny the sale of the goods of the complainant (apples), upon his co-accused having brought the same to Rajasthan, but allegedly he was under the impression that the goods that were actually sold had not been "embezzled" by the employees of the complainant.

Without making any final comment on the aforesaid contention, in the opinion of this court, the order dated 02.07.2019

admitting the petitioner to interim bail, deserves to be made absolute.

Ordered accordingly, on the same terms and conditions.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

18.09.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No