

251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2286-2019
Date of decision: 30.10.2019

RASAL SINGH

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

VARINDER KOCHHAR AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a sum of ₹6,10,631/- was due out of which ₹ 92,096/- are recoverable and a net amount payable was ₹5,18,535/-. The said amount has already been deposited in the account of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to contradict the said fact due to lack of instructions. There is no reason to doubt the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

In case, the amount has not been deposited, let the needful be done within one week from today.

In case, the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents is found to be wrong, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

It is further clarified that in case, the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, costs may be imposed.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

30th October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*