

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-3614-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2019**

Parveen Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge is to the order passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge rejecting application under Section 319 Cr.P.C for summoning additional accused. It has been seen that the allegations against the additional accused sought to be summoned are vague and police report filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C after conclusion of the investigation find that the additional accused sought to be summoned is not involved in the case. The Court found that sufficient material is not available on the Court file to form an opinion that more than prima facie case is made out against additional accused sought to be summoned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, although made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw attention of the Court to any substantive error or perversity in the impugned order.

Hence, dismissed.

12.12. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No