

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

(I)	CRM-M-27281-2019 (O&M) Date of Decision:- 26.7.2019	
Sunil and another	Versus	... Petitioners
State of Punjab		... Respondent
(II)	CRM-M-30659-2019 (O&M)	
Hans Raj	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent
(III)	CRM-M-30713-2019 (O&M)	
Sukhvir Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent
(IV)	CRM-M-30749-2019 (O&M)	
Satish	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent
(V)	CRM-M-30943-2019 (O&M)	
Sourav	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the above mentioned five petitions wherein petitioners Sunil, Dinesh, Hans Raj, Sukhvir Singh, Satish and Sourav have approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against them vide FIR No.55 dated 14.5.2019 registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur under Sections 420 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. It is the case of prosecution that during the course of recruitment process of civil employees in Indian Army, 2688 candidates had appeared, who had initially been searched and made to sit in the examination. During the course of invigilation, one candidate namely Sukhvir Singh (petitioner) was checked, who was found to be carrying a mobile phone in his clip board. Additionally, a mini listening device and a dongle attached with a battery and SIM card reader connected via bluetooth were also found from the right pocket of his trouser. On the basis of suspicion other candidates were also checked and during the course of search Satish (petitioner) was found to be carrying dongle battery, Sonu Singh son of Shamsher Singh was also found to be carrying a dongle battery. Pardeep was also found to be carrying a dongle battery, Gurtej was found to be carrying a dongle battery and SIM card 4G of Vodafone company, Amit Kumar

was found to be carrying battery, dongle SIM card reader, bluetooth connectivity, SIM card Airtel 4G and clip board, Shesh Ram was found to be carrying clip board, dongle battery, SIM card reader, bluetooth connectivity, SIM card Vodafone 4G, Sonu son of Banni Singh was found to be carrying clip board dongle battery, Sunil (petitioner) was found to be carrying clip board, battery dongle, SIM card reader, bluetooth connectivity, SIM card Airtel 4G, Ravinder was found to be carrying clip board, Mini listening device, clip board dongle battery, Dinesh (petitioner) was found to be carrying clip board, dongle battery, Hans Raj (petitioner) was found to be carrying clip board, Dongle battery, SIM card Vodafone 4G, Saurabh (petitioner) was found to be carrying camera 360 IPS company photron and Sunil Kumar @ Sushil Kumar was found to be carrying mini listening device.

3. As per contentions made in the petition, petitioner Sunil was found to be carrying clip board, battery dongle, SIM card reader, bluetooth connectivity, SIM card Airtel 4G, petitioner Dinesh was found to be carrying clip board, dongle battery, petitioner Hans Raj was found to be carrying clip board, Dongle battery, SIM card Vodafone 4G, petitioner Sukhvir Singh was found to be carrying a mobile phone in his clip board. Additionally, a mini listening device and a dongle attached with a battery and SIM card reader connected via bluetooth were also found from the right pocket of his trouser, petitioner Satish was found to be carrying dongle battery, petitioner Sourav was found to be carrying camera 360 IPS company photron.

4. Opposing the petition(s), the learned State counsel has submitted that since dongle battery and SIM card 4G of Vodafone company and clip board, dongle battery, SIM card reader, bluetooth connectivity, SIM card Vodafone 4G and Airtel 4G, were recovered from the petitioners, their complicity and their intention to cheat is evident and in these circumstances the petitioners do not deserve the concession of bail. It has, however, been informed that the petitioners have been behind bars since the last more than two months.
5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and while noticing that the petitioners have been behind bars since the last more than two months and no other recovery is to be effected from the petitioners, in my opinion, it is a fit case for grant of bail. The petitions, as such, are accepted and petitioners Sunil, Dinesh, Hans Raj, Sukhvir Singh, Satish and Sourav are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
6. The petitions stand accepted accordingly.

July 26, 2019
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No