

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27321-2019
Date of decision: 05.07.2019**

Rupankan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 191 dated 31.05.2019, registered under Sections 170, 186, 419 of the IPC at Police Station PGIMS Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered against an unknown person with the allegations that somebody has tried to impersonate himself as Additional Superintendent of Police and has misbehaved with a lady doctor by asking her to exchange mobile number and extending threats to her.

It is further submitted that so called person has visited the hospital and has claimed that he has been appointed as Observer by the Election Commission and tried to pressurize the staff of the Trauma Centre by creating obstruction in discharge of their official duties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in fact

the wife of the petitioner, namely Dr. Arti, is an Associate Professor in PGIMS, Rohtak and the complainant Dr. Kirti Sharma, who was the House Surgeon at the time of incident, is a student of her wife Dr. Arti.

It is further submitted that there is a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and his wife Dr. Arti and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar, could not dispute the factual position and submitted that the petitioner has suffered a disclosure statement, in which, he has stated that for the purpose of getting some treatment and for removal of his stitches, he had gone to the hospital and on account of rush there, he had pretended himself to be a police officer.

Learned State counsel, however, has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and he is in judicial custody since 04.06.2019.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, the petitioner and his father or mother will furnish an undertaking before the trial Court, at the time of furnishing bail/surety bonds, that the petitioner will not visit the place of work of complainant Dr. Kirti Sharma as well as his wife Dr. Arti and in case, he is found

violating this condition or extending threats to the aforesaid lady doctors in any manner, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail.

05.07.2019
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>