

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(201)

CWP-2730-2010

Date of Decision: July 01, 2019

Jarnail Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Agricultural Mktg. Board and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Hoshier Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, certain benefits have been extended to the petitioner but not to his entire satisfaction. Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was entitled for promotion as a Mandi Supervisor from the year 1988, which has not been given.

A bare perusal of the prayer in the writ petition would show that the claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is only for the release of the pensionary benefits, which benefits has already been extended to the petitioner during the pendency of the writ petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one seeking the promotion to the post of Mandi Supervisor retrospectively and also the consequential revision of the pensionary benefits.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

July 01, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No