

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27246 of 2019

Date of decision: October 24, 2019

Krishan Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.84 dated 14.05.2019, under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Rupnagar.

On 11.09.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that there is suicide tendency in the family of the deceased as three of his family members, i.e. Father, Uncle and Sister have already committed suicide and by no stretch of imagination, it could be construed that petitioner had abetted the commission of suicide. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks time to apprise the Court regarding pendency of other criminal cases against the petitioner.

Adjourned to 24.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. Petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 11.09.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 11.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 24, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No