

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13593 of 2015

Date of decision: 23.07.2019

Ishwar and another

.... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

In the present writ petition, the grievance raised by the petitioners is with regard to the appointment of respondents No.5 and 6 by respondent No.4 which is a Co-operative Society Sugar Mill Ltd., Meham, Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises a preliminary objection as to the maintainability of the present petition against a Co-operative Sugar Mill in view of the decision rendered by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **General Manager Kisan Sahkari Chini Mills Ltd, Saharanpur, U.P. vs. Satrughan Nishad and others 2003 (8) SCC 639** on 08.10.2003.

Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case of **General Manager Kisan Sahkari Chini Mills Ltd.** (supra) has held that where the Society is not being administered or financially supported by the Government, the same will not be amenable to writ jurisdiction of this Court.

Counsel for the petitioners is unable to distinguish his case and to argue that respondent No.4 is amenable to writ jurisdiction of this Court being administered and financially supported by the Government.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioners prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the appropriate authority under the Haryana Co-operative Societies Act, 1984 for redressal of their grievance.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

23.07.2019

aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |