

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27207-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.07.2019

Rati Ram and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-21071-2019

Application is allowed.

Mr. Vijay Jindal son of Sh. C.L. Jindal (victim), resident of S-424,
Greater Kailash, Block-5, New Delhi is impleaded as respondent No.2.

Main case

Prayer in this petition is to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners
in FIR No.318 dated 30.05.2019 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of
the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

In the FIR, which has been filed by the Sub-Registrar, Faridabad,
it has been alleged that after enquiry, it has been found that the petitioners were
owners of immovable property and had executed a general power of attorney in
favour of Vijay Jindal on receipt of entire sale consideration from Girraj Singh
son of Jagmal vide agreement to sell dated 13.01.1999. In fact, Girraj Singh

had executed further agreement to sell in favour of Vijay Jindal on 14.01.1999 with regard to some part of the land. Possession of the land was also delivered to the purchaser. The aforesaid general power of attorney executed in favour of Vijay Jindal has been got cancelled fraudulently by incorrectly showing that Vijay Jindal was present and identified by the Sub-Registrar. As per the affidavit of Vijay Jindal, he was never present at the time when general power of attorney was executed.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at length.

In this case, there are serious allegations with regard to the cancellation of a general power of attorney after having received the entire sale consideration of ₹9,00,000/- in the year 1999 for sale of the property pursuant to the agreement to sell signed by the parties. Still further apparently, presence of First Informant has been shown at the time of execution of the cancellation deed although he was not present. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court that two more FIRs have been registered against the petitioners. In the aforesaid FIRs, allegations are that original power of attorney executed in favour of Vijay Jindal in the year 1999 has been removed from the office of Sub-Registrar.

In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners do not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

17.07.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**